Air Force Civil Engineer Center



Wurtsmith Restoration Advisory Board Meeting

11 September 2019 AFCEC/CIB



Wurtsmith RAB Agenda









Presentations

- Introductions
- Stakeholder updates
- Dispute Resolution progress
- ESI Report Development
- Transducer Study



- EGLE GSI Investigation
- Operating Procedures
- RAB Community Membership
- Upcoming co-chair election
- Participants provide three-minute verbal comments

Presentations (cont.)



RAB Business



Public Comment





Wurtsmith RAB Ground Rules



- Respect one another and maintain an atmosphere of open dialogue and exchange of ideas.
- **02** Use our time together efficiently, wisely and respectfully.
- O3 | Speak clearly and succinctly one person at a time; avoid interrupting others.
- **O4** Listen and remain open to differing points of view.
- Maintain a propensity for progress: prepare, discuss, document and move forward.
- **O6** Share information early, openly and honestly
- Accurately and objectively relay to others the discussions that occur at board meetings.





Stakeholder Updates





Completed



Conducted 4th quarter DW monitoring, collecting 19 private well samples

Awarded Mission Street ion-exchange treatment system contract on 23 Aug



Began construction at SS-57 on 3 Sep for supplemental VOC remedy





Completed final ESI fieldwork; collected 83 samples

In progress/Upcoming

- Preparing to install performance MWs to sample at SS-57
 - First remedy enhancement injection planned for late October
- Submitted draft feasibility study to EGLE for LF030 and LF031
- Drafting ESI report






Objective: Address PFOS and PFOA in discharge from the Mission Street Pump and Treat System (MPTS).

- Latest influent concentrations: 318 ng/L (PFOS) and 48.5 ng/L (PFOA)
- Dismantle and remove existing air stripping system to increase available space in building
- Install ion exchange resin treatment system
- Continue to discharge to storm drain
- 200 gallons per minute (GPM) capacity
- One equalization tank and two IX resin beds




















 Research permit issued to two university students out of Bowling Green University

FGI F RRD

- Local meetings; Rep Allor tour on 8/16; AG Nessel tour on 8/8 EGLE Water Resources
- Fish sampling continues September; WRD inspections EGLE RRD Bay City District
- Mike Jury new role; new PMs for Oscoda area; sampling MWs MDNR update
- University research
- Deer sampling



- Community outreach
- Connecting with other RABs, community groups and agencies





















Presentations



Wurtsmith RAB Dispute Resolution Recap



Timeline

11 Jan - 15 March 2018

Correspondence and meetings between EGLE, USAF regarding the seven DR items

28 June 2019

Correspondence: summary of meeting and USAF-recommended action for DR items

Present Day

Discussions ongoing

14 Dec 2017

EGLE initiates formal DR between the DoD and the State of Michigan. Seven dispute items identified

24 April 2019

EGLE and USAF DR committee members meet to discuss path forward

1 July 2019

EGLE and USAF issue joint press release regarding progress toward resolving issues raised in the DR



Wurtsmith RAB DR Press Release





On July 1, 2019, EGLE and USAF issued a joint press release announcing several areas of agreement that help accelerate PFOS/PFOA investigation and treatment at Former Wurtsmith.

Begin operating
Mission Street GW
treatment system by
end of 2019

Evaluate Michigan
GSI and other state
requirements to
determine if these are
ARARs during RI

Submit draft ESI report to EGLE by end of 2019

Review possible
Interim Remedial
Actions, to include
west side of Van Etten
Lake

Implement base-wide RI following ESI report evaluation

*Notice of Violation (NOV) issued 16 Jan 2018 resolved/closed.

*Not a DR item







Expanded Site Inspection (ESI)



Wurtsmith RAB Expanded Site Inspection (ESI)



CERCLA Investigation Recap

Final Report	Objective
Jan. 2016	Preliminary Investigation (PA): Identify areas with potential AFFF releases.
Oct. 2018	Site Inspection (SI): Conduct sampling to confirm AFFF releases; make recommendations regarding RI; sample DW down gradient from releases and respond if above LHA.
In Production	ESI: Evaluate pathways to DW sources; determine need for interim actions to protect DW from exceeding LHA.
	*ESI was formerly called Supplemental Site Inspection (SSI)




The ESI focused on Priority Areas identified during the SI.



Wurtsmith RAB Expanded Site Inspection (ESI)





CERCLA Investigation Recap



Wurtsmith RAB FSI



Areas 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 15 have potential pathways for contamination to reach <u>human DW sources</u>.

The ESI builds on SI data and helps develop a <u>conceptual site</u> <u>model</u> that represents site features, conditions and other factors that control transport, migration and potential impacts of contamination.

The final ESI report will include sampling data from SI and ESI.



To date, the USAF has collected more than **350** samples from **131** wells, including up to **56** DWs. There are a total of **79** VAS locations in which **286** samples were collected.





Oversees site investigation and cleanup activities and ensures compliance with applicable environmental regulations.

- EGLE has collected several samples on Wurtsmith Air Force Base.
- EGLE reviews USAF field sampling designs, sampling collection methods and analytical methods prior to the work.
- Quality checks are incorporated into the sample and analysis methods.



Wurtsmith RAB FSI

ESI fieldwork gives the USAF the data needed to continue **protecting DW** sources.

Fate and Transport Model

 A three-dimensional GW model that evaluates where contamination goes based on various factors.

Evaluate Capture Zones

 Determine if GW treatment systems are capturing all contamination upgradient of potential DW pathways.

Evaluate Interim Response Measures

 Assess need for additional interim response actions to protect DW sources.

The lines of evidence demonstrate...



- The migration pathway is complete / incomplete and an imminent threat to DW is present / not present.
- Transducer study and GW modeling results indicate GW flow rate of XXX migrating toward X DW wells.



Why an ESI?

- Further evaluate priority areas, potential impacts to DW sources
- Supports risk prioritization to establish sequencing and funding
- Expanded sampling will help focus and direct RI

Expanded Site Inspection	Remedial Investigation
Evaluate potential impacts to DW	Determine nature and extent of contamination
✓ Sampling/studies at priority areas	✓ Sampling/studies at <u>all</u> release areas
	✓ Conceptual site model
	✓ Updated GW model
	✓ Transducer study
	✓ Evaluate capture zones
\boxtimes	✓ Risk assessments
\boxtimes	✓ Evaluate cleanup levels
\boxtimes	✓ Determine need for remedial action



Wurtsmith RAB ESI Report Development



June

Collect final field samples and send to lab

• Sent 83 samples to lab on 30 June.

Data analysis and report development

- Validate analytical data from 3rd quarter (2-3 weeks).
- Establish data trend by comparing data against 2017-18 collected from VAS with the permanent wells installed in 2018.
- Review transducer data collected to identify potential changes in how GW flows throughout the year.
- Update the GW model with data from the newly installed MWs.
- Check if the current capture zone is preventing the PFOS/PFOA migration down-gradient into residential DWs.
- Summarize the findings and analytical results.
- Present conclusions and recommendations.

once sample data is received from lab



Wurtsmith RAB ESI Report Development



5-7 weeks

31 Dec. 2019

60-90 days

USAF reviews draft report and submits comments


- Draft submittal expected by mid October.
- USAF typically needs 3-4 weeks for review.
- Contractor has 20 days to respond to comments.
- Five days to finalize once USAF accepts changes.

USAF submits draft report to EGLE

EGLE reviews draft report and provides comments

- EGLE technical review
- EGLE project management review
- EGLE management

•EGLE Projected Timeline -Former WAFB PFAS ESI



- •EGLE continues to coordinate with USAF on site issues.
- •Dates are less certain the further projected into the future.



Wurtsmith RAB ESI: Next Steps




ESIOutcomes

Three potential outcomes following final ESI report:

- No further action
- 2. Additional interim DW response actions needed
- 3. Proceed to RI (already committed for Wurtsmith)



Prioritize Sites





Begin RI



Wurtsmith RAB USAF-wide RI Prioritization







DoD Approach: Evaluate the relative risk to human health posed by the chemical contamination present at a site.





Data Assembly Evaluation Factors

Relative Risk

Begin RI









Hazard
----Migration
Pathway

Contaminant

Human Receptor High

Med





True

- USAF shifted funds planned for other IRP projects to fund DW response actions & sampling
- IRP funds planned for other projects have been diverted to Wurtsmith for PFOS/PFOA response

False

- Pease received "earmarked" funds for PFOS/PFOA cleanup
- USAF diverted money from the Wurtsmith Landfill 30/31 site









Wurtsmith RAB Transducer Study



Objective

Gain an understanding of the movement of PFOS/PFOA in GW by monitoring the change of the water table over time.

Transducers, or Level Loggers, measure the total water pressure over a set period of time.

Both EGLE and USAF conducted studies and are sharing data.



Joint approach provides:

- Complete data set and picture of GW flow directions.
- QA/QC through peer review









EGLE collected water elevation information from transducers placed in Monitoring Wells along Van Etten Lake.


- Data and findings are still being analyzed and compiled into report.
- Preliminary Findings: data supports previous studies completed by the U.S. Geological Survey.





Wurtsmith RAB Transducer Study





USAF collected water elevation information from transducers installed in 16 MWs.



- Data will be reported in ESI and incorporated in updates to conceptual site model (one component).
- Data indicates GW flows toward Van Etten Lake from both west and east side of the lake; supports previous USGS studies.













MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY

Groundwater Surface Water Interface – Van Etten Creek and Au Sable River

Matt Baltusis


Remediation and Redevelopment

517-897-1748 | baltusism@michigan.gov



Groundwater Surface Water Interface


- What is GSI?
 - Groundwater Surface Water Interface that is the location at which groundwater enters a surface water body.












Mission Street and Three Pipes Drain

PFOS Concentration Above (Red) and Below (Green) GSI in Groundwater




FT-02 – Fire Training Area

PFOS Concentration Above (Red) and Below (Green) GSI in Groundwater




Wastewater Treatment Plant

PFOS Concentration Above (Red) and Below (Green) GSI in Groundwater



Surface Water Samples – Van Etten Creek and Au Sable River

PFOS Concentration in Surface Water



Surface Water Samples – Au Sable River

PFOS Concentration in Surface Water

Michigan Department of **Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy**

800-662-9278 www.Michigan.gov/EGLE





Sign up for email updates



Subscribe to our YouTube Channel



Follow us on Twitter @MichiganEGLE









Wurtsmith RAB RAB Business



Operating Procedures

Where we're at, next steps

Community Membership

Primary	Alternates	New Applicants
Daniel Stock *	Vacant	David Winn
Robert Tasior	Vacant	Rex Vaughn
Joe Maxwell	Vacant	Greg Shulz
William Gaines	Vacant	Jeff Gottlieb
Ryan Mertz	Vacant	Scott Lingo
Catherine Larive *	Vacant	Mark Henry
Arnie Leriche	Vacant	
Cathy Wusterbarth	Martha Gottlieb	
Jerry Schmidt	James Davis	

Community Co-Chair Election

Annual vote at next RAB meeting.



Wurtsmith RAB



Public Comment





Public Comment Period

- O1 Sign in and write your name on a card
- **02** Deliver your comments from the front of the room
- **03** Three minute time limit
- RAB members will confer after your comment to see if a follow-up action is needed



Conclusion & Adjournment

